

Arithmetic Subgroups and Applications

Mariam Almahdi Mohammed Mulla¹, Amal Mohammed Ahmed Gaweash² and Hayat Yousuf Ismail Bakur³

¹ University of Hafr Al-Batin

Received: 30 July 2020 Accepted: 19 August 2020 Published: 2 September 2020

Abstract

Arithmetic subgroups are an important source of discrete groups acting freely on manifolds. We need to know that there exist many torsion-free $SO(n,1)$ is an arithmetic subgroup of $SO(n,1)$. The other arithmetic subgroups are not as obvious, but they can be constructed by using quaternion algebras. Replacing the quaternion algebras with larger division algebras yields many arithmetic subgroups of $SO(n,1)$, with $n > 2$. In fact, a calculation of group cohomology shows that the only other way to construct arithmetic subgroups of $SO(n,1)$ is by using arithmetic groups. In this paper justifies Commensurable groups, and some definitions and examples, n -forms of classical simple groups over F , calculating the complexification of each classical group, Applications to manifolds. Let us start with $SO(n,1)$. This is already a complex Lie group, but we can think of it as a real Lie group of twice the dimension. As such, it has a complexification.

Index terms— lie group, commensurable groups, orthogonal group, symplectic group, subgroups.

1 I. Introduction

In This paper we will give a quite explicit description of the arithmetic subgroups of almost every classical Lie group G . (Recall that a simple Lie group G is "classical" if it is either a special linear group, an orthogonal group, a unitary group, or a symplectic group. The key point is that all the n -forms of G are also classical, not exceptional, so they are fairly easy to understand. However, there is an exception to this rule, some 8-dimensional orthogonal groups have n -forms of so-called triality type, that are not classical and will not be discussed in any detail here given G , which is a Lie group over F , we would like to know all of its n -forms (because, by definition, arithmetic groups are made from n -forms) [1,2,3]. However, we will start with the somewhat simpler problem that replaces the fields F and E with the fields F and E : finding the n -forms of the classical Lie groups over F . In this paper we construct methods by arithmetic groups. The associated symmetric space G/H (G/H) is the hyperbolic plane H^2 . There are uncountably many lattices in G/H (G/H) (with the associated locally symmetric spaces being nothing other than Riemann surfaces), but only countably many of them are arithmetic. But in higher rank Lie groups, there is the following truly remarkable theorem known as Margulis arithmeticity. Let G be a connected semi simple Lie group with trivial centre and no compact factors, and assume that the real rank of G is at least two. Then every irreducible lattice Γ in G is arithmetic [4,5, 6]. These groups play a fundamental role in number theory, and especially in the study of automorphic forms, which can be viewed as complex valued functions on a symmetric domain which are invariant under the action of an arithmetic group. Appeared that some arithmetic groups are the symmetry groups of several string theories. This is probably why this survey fits into these proceedings [7].

2 II. Commensurable Groups

Subgroups Γ_1 and Γ_2 of a group are said to be commensurable if $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ [8,9].

3 a) Definition

Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be subgroups of a group Γ . We say that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are commensurable if $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2] < \infty$ and $[\Gamma_2 : \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2] < \infty$, where $[\Gamma : \Gamma'] = |\Gamma / \Gamma'|$ if Γ / Γ' is finite, and $[\Gamma : \Gamma'] = \infty$ otherwise. Examples: any two Γ_1 and Γ_2 are commensurable. $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$: Γ_1 and Γ_2 are commensurable iff they are isomorphic [13].

4 d) The geometry topology

Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be fundamental groups. For instance, let $\Gamma = \pi_1(M, ?)$ acting on $\mathbb{H}^3$ and let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be lattices which are fundamental groups of hyperbolic manifolds (or, more generally, orbifolds). If Γ_1 and Γ_2 are commensurable, $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_2/\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ have a common finite cover. Since (orbifold) fundamental groups are defined as subgroups of Γ only up to conjugacy, it is natural to allow subgroups to have a finite index intersection only up to conjugacy [5,4].

5 e) Definition

Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be subgroups of a group G . We say that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are weakly commensurable if there is a g in G such that $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_1 \cap g\Gamma_2g^{-1}] < \infty$ and $[\Gamma_2 : \Gamma_1 \cap g\Gamma_2g^{-1}] < \infty$. [9] Remark "Weak commensurability" is also an equivalence relation [13].

6 Ref g) The Geometry Topology in dimension 2

Let $\Gamma_{g,2}$ denote the fundamental group of the genus g close orientable surface. Of course $\Gamma_{g,2} \cong \Gamma_{h,2}$ for all $g, h \geq 2$. On the other hand one can find discrete surface groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 inside $\Gamma_{g,2} = \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,2})$ which are not (weakly) commensurable [14].

7 h) Definition

Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be groups. We say that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are abstractly commensurable if there are subgroups $\Gamma_1' \leq \Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2' \leq \Gamma_2$ such that $[\Gamma_1' : \Gamma_1' \cap \Gamma_2'] < \infty$ and $[\Gamma_2' : \Gamma_1' \cap \Gamma_2'] < \infty$ [5].

8 III. Definitions

Let Γ be an algebraic group over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a faithful representation of Γ on a finite-dimensional vector space V , and let Λ be a lattice in V . Define $\Gamma_\Lambda = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \rho(\gamma)\Lambda = \Lambda\}$. (1)

An arithmetic subgroup of Γ_Λ is any subgroup commensurable with Γ_Λ . For an integer $N > 1$, the principal congruence subgroup of level N is $\Gamma_\Lambda(N) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma_\Lambda \mid \rho(\gamma) \equiv I \pmod{N}\}$. (2)

In other words, $\Gamma_\Lambda(N)$ is the kernel of $\Gamma_\Lambda \rightarrow GL(\Lambda/N\Lambda)$.

In particular, it is normal and of finite index in Γ_Λ . Any congruence subgroup of Γ_Λ is any subgroup containing some $\Gamma_\Lambda(N)$ as a subgroup of finite index, so congruence subgroups are arithmetic subgroups [15].

9 a) Example

Let $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ with its standard representation on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and its standard lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2$. Then Γ_Λ consists of the $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\gamma\Lambda = \Lambda$. On applying γ to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we see that this implies that γ has entries in $\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$, the same is true of Γ_1 . Therefore, Γ_Λ is $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. (3)

The arithmetic subgroups of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ are those commensurable with $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ [16]. By definition, $\Gamma_\Lambda(N)$ is $\{\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid \gamma \equiv I \pmod{N}\}$. (4)

Which is the kernel of $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$.

10 b) Example

The group Γ is an arithmetic subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, and all arithmetic subgroups are commensurable with it [3]. $\Gamma(N) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \equiv I \pmod{N}\}$ is a congruence subgroup of Γ . (5)

11 IV. R-Forms of Classical Simple Groups Over ?

To set the stage, let us recall the classical result that almost all complex simple groups are classical: a) Theorem All but finitely many of the simple Lie groups over $\mathbb{C}$ are isogenous to either $Spin^*(n)$, $Spin^c(n)$, or $Spin^*(n, 1)$, for some n , [15,17] b) Remark Up to isogeny, there are exactly five simple Lie groups over $\mathbb{C}$ that are not classical. They are the "exceptional" simple groups, and are called E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , F_4 , and G_2 .

We would like to describe the $\mathbb{R}$ -forms of each of the classical groups. For example, finding all the $\mathbb{R}$ -forms of $Spin^*(n)$ would mean making a list of the (simple) Lie groups G , such that the "complexification" of G is $Spin^*(n, \mathbb{C})$. This is not difficult, but we should perhaps begin by explaining more clearly what it means. It has

already been mentioned that, intuitively, the complexification of G is the complex Lie group that is obtained from G by replacing real numbers with complex numbers. For example, the complexification of $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ is $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$. In general, $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is (isogenous to) the set of real solutions of a certain set of equations, and we let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the set of complex solutions of the same set of equations [18] c) Notation of complex, semisimple Assume $G = SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, for some n . Since G is almost Zariski closed, there is a certain subset S of G of $\{1, -1, i, -i, \dots\}$, such that $G = \langle S \rangle$. Let $G_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \{ \delta \cdot \gamma \mid \delta \in S, \gamma \in G \}$, such that $G_{\mathbb{C}} = \langle S \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$. (6)

Then $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a (complex, semisimple) Lie group.

12 d) Example

$SO(2, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} = SO(2, \mathbb{C})$.
 $SO(2, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} = SO(2, \mathbb{C})$.
 $SO(2, \mathbb{R})_{\mathbb{C}} = SO(2, \mathbb{C})$.

13 e) Definition

If $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is isomorphic to G , then we say that G is the complexification of G , and that $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$ -form of G . The following result lists the complexification of each classical group. It is not difficult to memorize the correspondence. For example, it is obvious from the notation that the complexification of $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ should be symplectic. Indeed, the only case that really requires memorization is the complexification of $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ [19, 2].

14 f) Proposition

Here is the complexification of each classical Lie group.
Real forms of special linear group: 1 $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, 2 $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, 3 $SL(n, \mathbb{H})$, 4 $SL(n, \mathbb{O})$.
Real forms of orthogonal groups: 1 $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, 2 $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$, 3 $SO(n, \mathbb{H})$, 4 $SO(n, \mathbb{O})$.
Real forms of symplectic groups: 1 $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$, 2 $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$, 3 $Sp(n, \mathbb{H})$.
Calculating the Complexification of Classical G
Here is justified Proposition 4.6, by calculating the complexification of each classical group. Let us start with $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$. This is already a complex Lie group, but we can think of it as a real Lie group of twice the dimension. As such, it has a complexification $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$.

15 a) Lemma

The tensor product $V \otimes V$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$.
Proof. Define an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map $\phi: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ by $\phi(1 \otimes 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\phi(1 \otimes i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\phi(i \otimes 1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\phi(i \otimes i) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
It is straight forward to verify that ϕ is an injective ring homomorphism. Furthermore, $\phi(\{1, i, j, k\})$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$. Therefore, the map $\phi: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ defined by $\phi(v \otimes w) = \phi(v) \phi(w)$ is a ring isomorphism [1] b) How to find the real forms of complex groups in $\mathbb{C}$? Now, we will explain how to find all of the possible $\mathbb{R}$ -forms of $SO(n, \mathbb{C})$. We take an algebraic approach, based on Galois theory, and we first review the most basic terminology from the theory of (nonabelian) group cohomology [1].

16 c) Definitions

Suppose a group G acts (on the left) by automorphisms on a group H . (For $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, we write gh for the image of h under g .) A function $\phi: G \times G \rightarrow H$ is a 1-cocycle (or "crossed homomorphism") if $\phi(g_1 g_2) = \phi(g_1) \phi(g_2)$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$.
Two 1-cocycles ϕ and ψ are equivalent (or "cohomologous") if there is some $f: G \rightarrow H$, such that

17 d) Galois cohomology

For convenience, let $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}(t)$. Suppose $\phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an embedding, such that $\phi(t) = \bar{t}$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$. We wish to find all the possibilities for the group $G = \langle \phi \rangle$ that can be obtained by considering all the possible choices of ϕ . Let $\bar{\cdot}$ denote complex conjugation, the nontrivial Galois automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathbb{R}$. Since $\mathbb{C} = \{x + yi \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, we have $\phi(x + yi) = \bar{x} + \bar{y}i = x - yi$, where we apply ϕ to a matrix by applying it to each of the matrix entries. Therefore $\phi(A) = \bar{A}$, where $\bar{A}$ is the complex conjugate of A .
Since $\phi(t) = \bar{t}$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$, we know that it is invariant under ϕ , so we have $\phi(\phi(t)) = t$.

form $???(?, ?)$ never appears at two places. However, we know that $?? + ??$ is odd, so the only possibility for $?? \cdot ??$ is $???(?, ?)$. Therefore, $??$ is commensurable to $???(?, ?)$ [5,1,12].

21 VII. Conclusion

To state the conclusion in our applications, we can expand binomial and obtain an equation. The coefficient group $??$ is sometimes non-abelian. In case, $?? \in (??, ??)$ is a set with no obvious algebraic structure. However, if $??$ is an abelian group (as is often assumed in group cohomology), $?? \in (X, M)$ is an abelian group. The general principle: if $??$ is an algebraic object that is defined over $?$, then $? \in \text{Gal}(?/?), \text{Aut}(?? \cdot ?)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of $?$ -isomorphism classes of $?$ -defined objects whose $?$ -points are isomorphic to $?? \cdot ?$. We will explain how to find all of the possible $?$ -forms of $???(?, ?)$. The techniques can be used algebraic structure, but additional calculations are needed.



Figure 1:



Figure 2:



Figure 3:



Figure 4:



Figure 5:

only if

?? ??

? ?.

Figure 6:

.1 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Prof Shawgy Hussein Abdalla and Dr. Muhsin Hassan Abdallah who were a great help to us. We would also like to thank Mr. Bashir Alfadol Albdawi.

[Chap et al. ()] , Chap , V Iv , Masson Vi . 1981. 1975. 1982. VII,VIII, Masson; Chap. IX, Masson: English translation available from Springer.

[Lee ()] ‘Algebraic subgroups of Lie groups’. D H Lee . *J. Lie Theory* 1999. 9 p. .

[Weil ()] ‘Algebras with involution and the classical groups’. A Weil . 589{623. MR 0136682. *J. Indian Math. Soc* 1960. 24.

[Jacobson ()] *Basic Algebra I*, N Jacobson . MR 0780184. 1985. New York: Freeman. (2nd ed)

[Borevich and Shafarevich ()] Z I Borevich , I R Shafarevich . MR 0195803390 18. ARITHMETIC SUBGROUPS OF CLASSICAL GROUPS. *Number Theory*, (New York) 1966. Academic Press.

[Bourbaki ()] N Bourbaki . *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, (Berlin) 2005. ISBN 3-540-43405-4, MR 2109105. Springer.

[Tits ()] ‘Classification of algebraic semi simple groups’. J Tits . MR 0224710. *Ref References Références Referencias*, A Borel, G D Mostow (ed.) (Boulder,Colo) 1965. 1966. Amer. Math. Soc. p. . (Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups)

[Tits ()] ‘Classification of algebraic semi simple groups’. J Tits . *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups*, A Borel, G D Mostow (ed.) (Boulder,Colo) 1965. 1966. Amer. Math. Soc. p. .

[Helgason ()] ‘Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces’. S Helgason . *Pure and Applied Mathematics* 1978. Academic Press Inc. 80.

[Bourbaki and Lie ()] *El ´ements de math ´ematique. Hermann; ´Masson*, N Bourbaki , Lie . 1960. 1972. Paris. Chap. I, Hermann; II,III, Hermann. (Groupes et Algebresde Lie)

[Borel and Harder ()] ‘Existence of discrete cocompact subgroups of reductive groups over local fields’. A Borel , G Harder . 53{64. MR 0483367. <http://eudml.org/doc/151965> *J. Reine Angew. Math* 1978. 298.

[Helgason ()] S Helgason . MR 0514561. *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, (New York) 1978. Academic Press.

[Borel ()] *Introduction aux groups arithm ´etiques*, A Borel . 1969. Paris, Hermann & Cie. p. 125.

[Erdmann and Wildon ()] ‘Introduction to Lie algebras’. K Erdmann , M J Wildon . Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series 2006. Springer-Verlag London Ltd.

[Jacobson ()] N Jacobson . *Lie algebras. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, (New York-London) 1962. 1979. Interscience Publishers. (Reprinted by Dover)

[Johnson ()] ‘On the existence of irreducible discrete subgroups in isotypic Lie groups of classical type’. F E A Johnson . 10.1112/plms/s3-56.1.51. 51{77. MR 0915530. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-56.1.51> *Proc. London Math. Soc* 1988. (3) p. 56.

[Platonov and Rapinchuk ()] V Platonov , A Rapinchuk . MR 1278263. *Algebraic Groups and Number Theory*, (Boston) 1994. Academic Press.

[Lee ()] ‘The structure of complex Lie groups’. D H Lee . *Research Notes in Mathematics* 2002. Chapman & Hall/CRC. 429.

[Lee ()] ‘The structure of complex Lie groups’. D H Lee . *Research Notes in Mathematics* 2002. Chapman & Hall/CRC. 429.